Montana. Dept. of
351.001 Administration.
47 Personnel Division
State employee
incentive awards
program
legislative report

STATE EMPLOYEE INCENTIVE AWARDS PROGRAM LEGISLATIVE REPORT

DECEMBER 1990

STATE DOCUMENTS COLLECTION

MAR 21 1991

MONTANA STATE LIBRARY 1515 E. 6th AVE. HELENA, MONTANA 59620

Report Compiled and Prepared by:

State Personnel Divison
Department of Administration

Joyce Brown, Chief Employee Benefits Bureau

Catherine Mason Administrative Officer Employee Benefits Bureau

PLEASE HEALTHAN

MONTANA STATE LIBRARY S 351.00147 A3sele 1990 c.1 State employee incentive awerds progrem 3 0864 00071235 9

STATE EMPLOYEE INCENTIVE AWARDS PROGRAM

LEGISLATIVE REPORT AS OF DECEMBER 31, 1990

This report is prepared in compliance with 2-18-1103(6), Montana Code Annotated that provides for the Department of Administration to:

"(6) prepare a biennial report to the legislature containing a list of incentive awards and the corresponding savings or invention and providing a general review of any recommendations for improving the program."

PROGRAM PARTICIPATION

The State of Montana's Employee Incentive Awards Program has been in operation since April, 1982. During this time, state employees have submitted 602 suggestion applications. Of these 602 suggestions, 570 have been fully evaluated and 32 are currently in various stages of the evaluation process.

Of the 570 evaluated suggestions, 491 have been denied for a variety of reasons including prior consideration of the idea by management, no projected cost savings/benefits or legal/administrative impediments. Seventy-nine applications were successful and resulted in awards totaling \$ 37,326.00. These seventy-nine award winning suggestions resulted in an estimated first year cost savings of \$1,501,770.00. The figures reported here are cumulative totals since the program's inception in 1982 and do not reflect a dollar value for some of the awards that have been received for enhancement of services without actual dollar savings.

SUGGESTION APPLICATIONS 1982 - 1990

Calendar Year	Number Received	Number Approved	Number Denied	Number Pending
1982	114	10	104	0
1983	47	6	41	0
1984	71	13	58	0
1985	58	8	50	0
1986	119	16	103	0



Calendar Year	Number Received	Number Approved	Number Denied	Number Pending
1987	46	4	42	0
1988	36	4	32	0
1989	54	11	41	2*
1990	57	7	20	30
Totals	602	79	491	32

^{*} Pending council action.

Suggestions are received from employees from a wide variety of state agencies with the larger agencies generating the greatest number of idea applications. The Department of Highways and the Department of Social and Rehabilitation Services have submitted the greatest number of applications.

The following is a listing of where the 602 suggestions to date have come from in state government.

Number of Requests	Agency of Suggestor
5	Legislative Council
2	Supreme Court
5	Governor's Office
4	State Auditor's Office
4	Office of Public Instruction
1	Secretary of State
17	Justice
3	Universities
1	Historical Society
28	Fish, Wildlife, and Parks
12	Health and Environmental Sciences
1	Agriculture
105	Highways
1	Livestock
7	State Lands



Number of	
Requests	Agency of Suggestor
9	Natural Resources and Conservation
59	Revenue
49	Administration
51	Institutions
14	Commerce
48	Labor and Industry
92	Social and Rehabilitation Services

State Fund

1

EVALUATION PROCESS

When a suggestion application is received by the program, the application must progress through a variety of evaluation steps before a determination is made by the advisory council to accept or reject the suggestion. Of the thirty-four unprocessed applications, four are pending advisory council evaluation, thirty-two are pending agency evaluation.

Each Incentive Award Application is evaluated as follows:

- 1. The application is sent to the State Personnel Division where it is date stamped and logged into a journal.
- 2. The State Personnel Division sends a letter of acknowledgement to the originator.
- 3. The application is reviewed by a job classification analyst to determine:
 - a. if the suggestion is within the scope of the originator's job; and
 - b. which agency or agencies will be impacted by the suggestion.

The originator's name and agency of employment are deleted from the application at this time. It is then forwarded to the agency or agencies of potential impact for review.

 Each state agency has a committee or a coordinator who then evaluates the application for operational costs and benefits, and makes a recommendation for granting or denying an award.



- 5. The agency's recommendation is returned to the State Personnel Division for the Incentive Awards Advisory Council to review. The Council meets every two to three months to review and approve or disapprove the agencies' recommendations.
- 6. The application originator is then notified by letter of whether or not their application has received an award.
- 7. The Governor presents award winners with a plaque signifying the award and a check representing 10% of the first year's estimated cost savings or a recommended amount for suggestions improving services.

EVALUATION CRITERIA

The criteria used to evaluate each suggestion are described in the Montana Operations Manual, Policy 3-0505, Section 2.21.6703, Policy and Objectives. This policy provides that:

"an employee may be eligible for an incentive award if his suggestion or invention results in:

- eliminating or reducing an agency's expenditures in a manner that does not reduce the ability of the agency to meet its program objectives or reduce its ability to serve the public; or
- (b) improving services to the public by permitting more work to be accomplished within an agency without increasing the cost of governmental operations."

Each employee incentive award application is evaluated on its ability to either reduce governmental or agency expenditures or to improve governmental or agency services at no additional cost. The 1989 and 1990 award winning ideas are listed on the attached chart.

AWARDS AND COST SAVINGS REALIZED

Since the program's inception in April of 1982, awards totaling \$37,326.00 have been presented to 92 different employees for 79 award-winning ideas (eleven awards were shared). Since the last biennial report, \$11,106.00 was awarded in 1989 for 11 approved applications to twelve recipients which averaged \$925.50 per recipient and in calendar year 1990, \$9,966.00 was awarded for 8 approved applications to 9 recipients, for an award average of \$1,107.33 per recipient.

The total amount of first year savings generated by all 79 total award winning ideas has been estimated at \$1,501,770.00. Average savings per award winning suggestion is approximately \$19,000.00. Awards amounted to \$37,326.00 or only 2.5% of the total savings generated by the award winning ideas.



PROGRAM COSTS AND SAVINGS EVALUATION

\$ 1,501,770	First year savings from suggestions.
- 37,326	Award costs.
- 5,500	Administrative Costs - State Personnel Division and Advisory Council*
- 30,655	Administrative Costs - Agencies*
\$ 1,438,289	Net Savings

* Estimated costs to agencies for program operation.

Program administration costs since 1982 have been estimated at \$36,155.00 shared between the Department of Administration and individual agencies which evaluate ideas for potential impact to their operations and/or services. All personal services and operations costs are absorbed in agency budgets.

The cumulative savings realized from first year savings (\$1,501,770.00) less absorbed program costs (\$36,155.00) and the costs of awards (\$37,326.00), represents the net benefit of the program to date of \$1,428,289.00 or an estimated cost savings of \$20 for every \$1.00 expended.



MEMBERS OF THE INCENTIVE AWARDS ADVISORY COUNCIL

Renee Erdman Licensing Bureau

State Auditor's Office

Jim Adams Director of Field Services

Montana Public Employees Association

Janet Myren Administrative Assistant

Department of Social & Rehabilitation Services

Russell G. McDonald Administrator, Personnel Division

Department of Highways

Lois A. Menzies Gambling Control Division

Department of Justice

John H. Noble Deputy Commissioner for Management and Fiscal Affairs

University System

Jack Ellery Deputy Director,

Department of Revenue

Laurie Ekanger (Chairperson), Administrator, State Personnel Division

Department of Administration

The Council and the program are provided staff support by:

Catherine Mason Administrative Officer, State Personnel Division

Department of Administration

Tina Petersen Administrative Assistant, State Personnel Division

Department of Administration



1989-1990 AWARD WINNING IDEAS

Award Winning Idea	Carl suggested an adjustable counter balance for changing road signs.	Dennis suggested using a vendor from the market place for maintenance con- tracts on computer hardware rather than contracting through the manufacturers.	Glenda suggested using generic codes for faster processing of new claims for workers' compensation insurance.	James suggested replacing audit forms with a memorandum to skip indicating "no changes" and avoid recalculating the totals.	Jane suggested SRS grant overtime rates to the long term care specialists in order to complete the federal OBRA mandate of 6000 screens for nursing home residents rather than contract for those services.	Fred suggested combining initial monetary determination and paycards into one mailing for unemployment insurance claims.	Berdene suggested the elimination of notice for all persons holding an interest in the property for right-of-way on temporary construction projects.	Susan suggested the use of inter-office envelopes for inter-office correspondence.
Savings	\$ 1,664	s 30,000 +	s 500	\$ 12,000	\$177,000	S 4,650	s 4,400	8 5,000
Award	\$ 166	\$3,000	\$ 20	\$1,200	83,000	s 20	s 440	\$ 50
Agency	Highways	Highways	State Fund	Labor	SRS	Labor	Highways	Deaf and Blind
Recipient	CARL GRESS	DENNIS DUPRE	GLENDA PENNINGTON	JAMES ZANDRA	JANE KORIN	FREDERICK FRY	BERDENE STEEN	SUSAN REAVLEY



Award Winning Idea	Debra suggested a new mail "slug" for the Department of Social and Rehabilita- tion Services.	Gary suggested a metal frame for the interstate signs used statewide by FW&P.	Terry suggested and created a new way of controlling and managing media resources on the mainframe.	Tom suggested the purchase of items from Central Stores rather than from the FW&P warehouse.	Larry suggested an automated system for the rubber gates at the Broadwater Power Project.	Dorothy suggested the use of PC's to communicate road reports.	Charles suggested the discontinuance of general physical examinations on healthy people for the Rehabilitation and Visual Services Divisions.	Debra suggested and developed a Lotus spreadsheet for use by Compliance Specialists in the uninsured employer's fund.	Debbie and Shirley suggested the pilot volunteer program for the Department of Fish, Wildlife, and Parks.
Savings	Improve Public Relations	\$ 4,250	\$103,676	\$ 3,000	\$516,375	066 \$	\$ 98,174	\$ 4,308	Enhanced Services
Award	\$ 25	\$ 100	23,000	300	83,000	\$ 100	\$3,000	\$ 430	\$3,000
Agency	SRS	FW&P	Admin.	FW&P	DNRC	Highways	SRS	Labor	FW&P
Recipient	BERNARD BOYD AND DEBRA STIPCICH	GARY McDONALD	TERRY KRAMER	TOM GREASON	LARRY TEGG	БОВОТНУ КЕLLEY	CHARLES LEGGATE	DEBRA BLOSSOM	DEBBIE CHEEK and SHIRLEY BROYLES



Award Winning Idea	Martin suggested photocopying news articles rather than gluing and saving the originals.	Jo Nan suggested the State Fund Insurance Warrants for child support be deadheaded or picked up once per day using one warrant rather than numerous pickups and warrants.
Savings	\$ 828	s 403
Award	\$	S &
Agency	Legis. Council	SRS
Recipient	MARTIN BAUMAN	JO NAN LONGMIRE



